

10-27-1958

## Correspondence, John C. Stennis, October 27-November 8, 1955

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/jcs-civil-rights-correspondence>

---

### Preferred Citation

[Title], John C. Stennis Collection. Congressional and Political Research Center, Mississippi State University Libraries.

This Letter is brought to you for free and open access by the John C. Stennis Digital Collections at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Civil Rights Correspondence by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact [scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com](mailto:scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com).

[REDACTED]  
LAWYER  
[REDACTED]

BROOKHAVEN, MISS.

WASHINGTON OFFICE

NOV 3 1958

JOHN STENNIS

October 27, 1958

Senator John C. Stennis  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator:

I would be glad you would give some thought to this suggestion.

I believe if a bill was introduced to give each state in the union exclusive control over their schools it would pass and leave out of the argument altogether "right-wing, left-wing, conservative and liberal" but confine that fight solely to give each state the exclusive power to control their schools. Then when we get that through, if we do, we could then begin on something else. When we take it all together like we have been doing and have to use such terms as "right-wing, left-wing, etc.," it stirs up a faction and that faction apparently is in the majority in the House and in the Senate. So I believe if our Congressmen and Senators from the South would try out a bill based solely on the control of our schools and leave all other questions out of it, segregation and everything else, and confine their efforts solely to putting through that bill, I believe it has a chance to pass and I base that opinion upon my reading of current literature. I believe the West and middle West would largely line up with us and some support from the East and of course we would have the solid South.

This is only a suggestion because I know you are studying these questions along with all other southerners.

Your friend,  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

RECEIVED

NOV 6

DeKALB

HVW/mns

MR. STENNIS-

This ought to be good information in any fight on the poll tax, but no one I have submitted it to in the past has ever, apparently, done so. This was put together a few years ago while residing there in DC.

We do not have the tax any more in Florida, but I am opposed on principle, just as with the other things lately being thrust upon us.

  
Reddick, Florida

MAR 13 1948

Memorandum on the matter of POLL TAXES ---- NOT in the southeastern states

.....

A letter from the Deputy Secretary of State, RHODE ISLAND, dated March 5, 1940, stated that:

"Under Rhode Island law the payment of poll tax is not a pre-requisite for voting."

However, Hon. Theodore F. Green, a member of the U. S. Senate from Rhode Island, has furnished a copy of the full text of the Rhode Island laws, Chapters 34 and 35, regarding taxes in that state and we find the following language in Chapter 34:

"The assessors of taxes of each town and city shall, on the date for the annual assessment of town and city taxes therein, respectively, assess against every person in said town or city, who, if registered, would be qualified to vote, a tax of \$1.00, or so much thereof as, with other taxes, shall amount to \$1.00.

"The assessors of taxes of each town and city shall, on the date for the annual assessment of town and city taxes therein, respectively, also assess a tax of \$1.00, or so much thereof as with other taxes shall amount to \$1.00, against every person, male or female, in said town or city of the age of 21 years or over, whether or not a citizen of the United States, who has had residence in said town or city for 6 months next preceding such assessment. (P.L., 1922, Ch. 2201)"

It will be noted that the law here specifically assesses a poll tax against the very persons about whom certain individuals are so solicitous - the poorer ones - because it definitely provides that unless a tax of \$1.00 or more is assessed as a property tax then the poll tax must apply.

Note also the provision for a "head tax". The southern states have not been charged with that, so far.

.....

A letter from the Deputy Secretary of State, NEW HAMPSHIRE, dated March 1, 1940, states:

"While we do have a poll tax rate of two dollars we do not find that the statute specifically states that it is a pre-requisite to voting, although it is when it comes to obtaining a hunting or fishing license, or an automobile license."

Well, they seem to get them. Even our poorer classes manage to require an automobile license. More of them want auto licenses than want to vote.

.....

A letter from Hon. H. C. Lodge, Jr., Senator from MASSACHUSETTS, dated Feb. 27, 1940, says:

"Under Massachusetts law, every male citizen is supposed to pay a poll tax of \$2. per year, and it is necessary to show that such a tax has been assessed in order to be eligible to register for voting purposes."

It will be noted that Mr. Lodge said that a poll tax must be ASSESSED. That the poll tax must also be PAID is indicated in a letter dated March 31, 1941, from which the following is quoted:

"However, as of March 28, 1941, I have in person taken steps to reestablish my residence at . . . . . Somerville, Middlesex County, Massachusetts. After payment of poll tax and registration after June 15, 1941 I shall again be entered on the list of bona fide residents and eligible voters in the State of Massachusetts."

This person was establishing residence for Civil Service purposes.

There you are Folks!

MAR 13 1948

MAR 12 1948